

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,400	02/02/2001	Peter Alan Craig	1905P	1427
7:	590 02/22/2005		EXAM	INER
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP P.O. Box 51418			SEFCHECK, GREGORY B	
Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	_

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/776,400	CRAIG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory B Sefcheck	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed I days will be considered timely. Ifrom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Se	eptember 2004.					
	· _ · · · ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,11 and 12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2001 is/are: a	r election requirement.	ed to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	, 					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed 9/21/2004 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1, 3, 5, 11, and 12 have been amended.
- Claims 2, 4, 8-10, and 13 have been cancelled.
- Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 11, and 12 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waite et al. (US006434600B2) in view of Hrastar et al. (US006529517B2) and Sitaraman et al. (US006243749B1), hereafter Waite, Hrastar, and Sitaraman, respectively.
 - In regards to Claims 1, 5, 11, and 12,

Waite discloses a method, system and computer readable medium ("computer executable instructions"; Col. 7, lines 1-19) for maintaining an address of a dynamically addressed router (mail server 101 in figure 2) in a network including a server (router and server are in mail server 101) connected to a dynamically addressed router and a domain name server (DNS; dynamic and private name server 102,104; claim 1,5,11,12

Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

 method/system/computer readable medium with instructions for maintaining address of a dynamically addressed router in a network including a server connected to the router and a domain name server).

Waite discloses translating the source address of an update message to a current address of the dynamically addressed router (mail server 101 constructs a packet of registration data containing its IP address; col. 10, lines 64-67; Col. 11, lines 1-14; claim 1,5,11,12 – creating an update message on the server with a source address of the server; claim 1,5,11,12 – translating the source address to a current address of the dynamically addressed router).

Waite does not expressly disclose a separate router to switch data and a server to update the addresses by creating an update message.

Hrastar discloses a network which switches IP packets utilizing dynamic addressing through a separate router and server (Col. 10, lines 5-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method, system and computer readable medium of Waite by utilizing a separate router and server for updating addresses to the network, thereby decreasing the load on a combined router/server during times of high activity and effecting the overall throughout of the system (Hrastar – Col. 10, lines 5-23).

Art Unit: 2662

Waite also does not explicitly disclose sending the update message to a proxy of the DNS and changing the source, destination and host addresses for transmission to the DNS for updating the address of the router with the host address of the message.

Sitaraman discloses dynamic network address updating over a network as shown in Fig. 1 (Title). Sitaraman shows that an information broker (proxy) receives information regarding the updating of IP addresses and distributes the information to subscribing DNS servers (Abstract; (claim 1,5,11,12 – sending the update message to a proxy residing on the DNS; claim 1,5,11,12 – changing the source address of the update message to an address of the proxy, a destination address of the message to an address of the DNS, and a host address of the message to a current address of the router; claim 1,5,11,12 – sending the changed message to the DNS, wherein an address of the router stored in the DNS is update with the host address of the changed update message).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method, system and computer readable medium of Waite by sending an update message from a dynamically addressed router to a proxy of a DNS for subsequent delivery to the DNS, as taught by Sitaraman. Use of a proxy would enable distribution of the update information to multiple DNS servers subscribed to the network, thereby enabling a single update message to propagate updated information on dynamically assigned IP addresses to multiple domain servers on the Internet.

Art Unit: 2662

- In regards to Claim 3,

Waite discloses a method, system and computer readable medium for maintaining an address of a dynamically addressed router in a network that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Waite shows that mail server 103 may transmit a request for the dynamic IP address of mail server 101 to the name server, which extracts the record containing the address and forwards it to mail server 102 (Col. 9-10, lines 64-24; claim 3 – obtaining the address of the dynamically addressed router stored in the DNS).

Mail server 103 can then deliver mail to mail server 101 using the obtained address (Col. 10, lines 10-24; claim 3 – creating and sending a message with the address of the router stored in the DNS as a destination address of the message).

- In regards to Claim 7,

Waite discloses a method, system and computer readable medium for maintaining an address of a dynamically addressed router in a network that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Waite discloses that when the mail server 101 receives and applies the new IP address, it updates the address to the name server 102 (Col. 16, lines 31-42; claim 7 – update messages are created when an address of the router changes).

Art Unit: 2662

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waite in view of Hrastar and Sitaraman as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Orsic (US006147986A).

- In regards to Claim 6,

Waite discloses a method, system and computer readable medium for maintaining an address of a dynamically addressed router in a network that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Waite does not expressly show update messages created at predetermined intervals.

Orsic discloses an IP network where nodes having dynamic addresses are updated at regular (predetermined) time intervals to a DNS (Col. 2, lines 60-67; Col. 7, lines 10-23; claim 6 – update messages are created at predetermined intervals).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Waite by updating dynamically assigned addresses at regular time intervals, as taught by Orsic. This would ensure up-to-date status of the system and provide assurance that any errors in updates created upon a change in address are corrected.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-7, 11, and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Wu (US 20020133578A1) discloses a novel method in serving residential broadband subscribers
- Primak (US 20020095488A1) discloses a system and method for discovering,
 advertising, and finding networked services using dynamic directory
- Yemini et al. (US 20020091855A1) discloses a method and apparatus for dynamically addressing and routing in a data network
- Macpherson et al. (US 20020087726A1) discloses locality-related internet services
- Hind et al. (US006826690B1) discloses using device certificates for automated authentication of communicating devices
- Wang (US006614774B1) discloses a method and system for providing wireless
 mobile server and peer-to-peer services with dynamic DNS update
- Hirai (US006324577B1) discloses a network management system for managing states of nodes
- Woundy (US006009103A) discloses a method and system for automatic allocation of resources in a network

Art Unit: 2662

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,400

Art Unit: 2662

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GBS 2-16-2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600